Description	PC6 Lake Fors	syth / Wairewa	Hearing
-	4/20/2016		Location Lincoln Events Centre
			<u>'</u>
Time	Recording Time	Speaker	Note
9:05:53 a.m.	0:00:00		Hearing Begins
9:06:48 a.m.	0:00:55	Dr Jane Kitson	Now Mr Cramwell would you
9:06:58 a.m.	0:01:05	Mr Cramwell	Karakia
9:07:29 a.m.	0:01:36	Chairperson Whiting	Kia ora, yes well welcome everyone
9:09:12 a.m.	0:03:19	Mr Phillip Maw	Yes thank you sir and I'd
9:09:25 a.m.	0:03:32		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr Phillip Maw
9:11:07 a.m.	0:05:14		Mr Phillip Maw conts
9:11:56 a.m.	0:06:03	Chairperson Whiting	Yes
<u>9:11:58 a.m.</u>	0:06:05	Mr Alistar Picken	Okay, my name's Alistar Picken
9:12:10 a.m.	0:06:17		Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:12:29 a.m.	0:06:36	Chairperson Whiting	Thank you
9:12:33 a.m.	0:06:40	Mr Alistar Picken	Okay so what I was intending to do today
9:12:40 a.m.	0:06:47		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Chairperson Whiting
9:13:47 a.m.	0:07:54		Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:14:32 a.m.	0:08:39		Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:16:00 a.m.	0:10:07	Mr Andrew Fenemor	Just your reference to 10 C I understand your
9:16:30 a.m.	0:10:37		
		Mr Alistar Picken	No I, that's not necessary because the rule on
9:16:39 a.m.	0:10:46		·
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr Andrew Fenemor
9:17:18 a.m.	0:11:25		Mr Alistar Picken conts

9:18:23 a.m.	0:12:30	Chairperson	
<u>J.10.23 a.m.</u>	0.12.30	Whiting	So it's the large area in the
9:18:27 a.m.	0:12:34	Mr Alistar	oo it a the large area in the
<u>3.10.27 a.iii.</u>	0.12.34	Picken	Top right hand corner
9:18:35 a.m.	0:12:42	TIOROTT	Top fight fland come
9.10.55 d.III.	0.12.42		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:20:11 a.m.	0:14:18		onamporoon winning
<u> </u>	012 1120		Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:20:35 a.m.	0:14:42	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Sorry can I just ask a question, is the run off
9:20:44 a.m.	0:14:51	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	I understand it is
9:20:51 a.m.	0:14:58		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:22:08 a.m.	<u>0:16:15</u>	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	Joining them together
<u>9:22:11 a.m.</u>	<u>0:16:18</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yes
<u>9:22:20 a.m.</u>	<u>0:16:27</u>		
		Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So what proportion of the catchment is
9:22:28 a.m.	<u>0:16:35</u>	Mr Alistar	<u> </u>
		Picken	I don't know the proportion
<u>9:22:35 a.m.</u>	<u>0:16:42</u>		
			Discussion hotuson Mr Aliston Distance and Dr. I
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
0.22.45	0.46.50	Chairparaca	Kitson
9:22:45 a.m.	<u>0:16:52</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Sarry have much
0.22:40 = ::	0:46:55	Mr Alistar	Sorry how much
9:22:48 a.m.	<u>0:16:55</u>	Picken	I maan I'm just visualising it but
0.22.57 c ==	0.17.04	FICKELL	I mean I'm just visualising it but
9:22:57 a.m.	<u>0:17:04</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:23:04 a.m.	<u>0:17:11</u>	Dr Jane	y
5.23.07 d.III.	<u> </u>	Kitson	So this is area that is to be
9:23:18 a.m.	0:17:25		
<u>5.25.25 diffit</u>	<u>0.17.120</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr Alistar
			Picken
9:25:12 a.m.	0:19:19		
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:25:27 a.m.	0:19:34	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	So do these activities that are referred to there

0.25.40	0.40.47	Mr Alistar	
9:25:40 a.m.	<u>0:19:47</u>		Co for the forms on viscours and also
		Picken	So for the farm environment plan
<u>9:25:46 a.m.</u>	<u>0:19:53</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:26:07 a.m.	0:20:14	Chairperson	
		Whiting	And does that require a farm
9:26:11 a.m.	0:20:18	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	No, it, the scheduel sets out the content of a
9:26:20 a.m.	0:20:27		
<u>5120120 amm</u>	0.20.27		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
0.26.47 2 5	0.20.54	Mr Andrew	Change 10011 Williams
9:26:47 a.m.	<u>0:20:54</u>	Fenemor	I think what we're trying to got at is
0.20.50	0:24:00	Mr Alistar	I think what we're trying to get at is
9:26:59 a.m.	<u>0:21:06</u>		Von for proportion aver 40 hasters
0.05.55		Picken	Yes, for properties over 10 hectares
9:27:06 a.m.	<u>0:21:13</u>		
			<u> </u>
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
9:27:07 a.m.	<u>0:21:14</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	If they're in the zone
9:27:12 a.m.	<u>0:21:19</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	If they're in the zone the will have to
9:27:32 a.m.	0:21:39		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:28:47 a.m.	0:22:54	Mr Alistar	·
<u>5:25:77 dillil</u>	<u> </u>	Picken	If you're a permitted activity
9:28:54 a.m.	0:23:01	Chairperson	y = 1.0 G poos dourny
<u> </u>	0.23.01	Whiting	You've got to do a seperate
0.20.01	0.22.00	l l	1 ou vo got to do a soperate
9:29:01 a.m.	<u>0:23:08</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
0.24.04	0.25.00		Chairperson Whiting
<u>9:31:01 a.m.</u>	<u>0:25:08</u>		Ma Alistan Distrance as a tra
		-	Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:31:35 a.m.	0:25:42		la ali e Bi l
		<u> </u>	Mr Alistar Picken conts
<u>9:31:55 a.m.</u>	<u>0:26:02</u>	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	So there is some overlap between the zones
9:32:09 a.m.	<u>0:26:16</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	There's a little bit of overlap on the
9:32:53 a.m.	0:27:00	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	So, yes I haven't done a detailed overlay

9:33:04 a.m.	0:27:11	1	
<u>5.55.04 a.m.</u>	0.27.11		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:33:49 a.m.	0:27:56	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So is there like the critical
9:33:56 a.m.	0:28:03	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yes
<u>9:33:57 a.m.</u>	0:28:04		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
<u>9:34:04 a.m.</u>	<u>0:28:11</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So they would have to
9:34:07 a.m.	0:28:14	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yes
9:34:08 a.m.	<u>0:28:15</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alieter Distance and
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
0.24.26	0.20.22	Mr Andrew	Chairperson Whiting
<u>9:34:26 a.m.</u>	<u>0:28:33</u>	Fenemor	Co has consideration been given notantially
0.24.56	0.20.02	renemoi	So has consideration been given potentially
9:34:56 a.m.	0:29:03		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
9:35:01 a.m.	0:29:08	Chairperson	Tringiew i enemer
<u>5.55.01 d.m.</u>	0.23.00	Whiting	In different parts
9:35:05 a.m.	0:29:12	Mr Andrew	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
<u>5.05.05 a</u>	<u> </u>	Fenemor	Which is potentailly quite
9:35:11 a.m.	0:29:18	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	Is there an idea of how many land
9:35:17 a.m.	0:29:24	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	My, in the figures that I have there are I think
9:35:28 a.m.	0:29:35	1	
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
9:35:49 a.m.	<u>0:29:56</u>		
		Chairperson	Anyway the situation is that we've got the plan
		Whiting	change
<u>9:36:15 a.m.</u>	<u>0:30:22</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	That's correct, yes sir
9:36:16 a.m.	<u>0:30:23</u>		
			Discussion hatus on Mr. Aliston District and
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting

0.27.40 a.m	0.21.47	Chairperson	
<u>9:37:40 a.m.</u>	<u>0:31:47</u>	Whiting	We were on our site visit vesterday
0.00.04	0.00.44	Mr Alistar	We were, on our site visit yesterday
9:38:04 a.m.	<u>0:32:11</u>		
		Picken	Yes, the Valley floor area follows
9:38:13 a.m.	<u>0:32:20</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:39:46 a.m.	0:33:53		- Champerson Triming
3.33.40 d.III.	0.33.33		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>9:39:52 a.m.</u>	<u>0:33:59</u>	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	Do you know how many of those properties
9:40:00 a.m.	0:34:07	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Most, I do have some statistics
9:40:06 a.m.	0:34:13		,
5.70.00 d.III.	0.57.15		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
9:40:24 a.m.	<u>0:34:31</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	I think our friend from yesterday
9:40:30 a.m.	0:34:37	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	But it sounds like
9:40:34 a.m.	0:34:41		
<u> </u>	010 11 12		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			·
			Andrew Fenemor
9:41:23 a.m.	<u>0:35:30</u>		
1			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:43:01 a.m.	0:37:08		
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:43:45 a.m.	0:37:52		
333 4	<u>5.57.52</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
		-	Chairperson Whiting
9:45:11 a.m.	<u>0:39:18</u>		
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:46:31 a.m.	<u>0:40:38</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes, thank you
9:46:43 a.m.	0:40:50	Mr Alistar	·
<u> </u>	<u>5. 10.50</u>	Picken	So addressing the sources of
0.46.49 2 5	0.40.55	1.0.001	
9:46:48 a.m.	<u>0:40:55</u>		Mr Alistar Picken cont
			IVII AIISTAI FICKEII COTIL
0.40.04	0 10 00		
<u>9:48:21 a.m.</u>	0:42:28		Mr Alistar Picken conts

9:50:07 a.m.	0:44:14	Chairperson	
<u>5.50.07 d.III.</u>	0.44.14	Whiting	And this is where we have an issue with
9:50:13 a.m.	0:44:20	Mr Alistar	This is where we have all leads with
<u>5.50.15 d.III.</u>	0.44.20	Picken	Correct
9:50:22 a.m.	0:44:29	i ioitori	
<u>5.50.22 d.m.</u>	0.44.25		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:52:45 a.m.	0:46:52	Mr Alistar	I mean I would like to hear the cases put forward by
		Picken	the
9:52:53 a.m.	0:47:00	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yeah, well you've read their
<u>9:52:59 a.m.</u>	<u>0:47:06</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>9:54:21 a.m.</u>	<u>0:48:28</u>		
			Discussion hotus on Mr Aliston Diskon and
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
0.55.10 a.m.	0.40.22		Chairperson Whiting
9:55:16 a.m.	<u>0:49:23</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:56:29 a.m.	0:50:36		
<u>5.55.25 G</u>	<u> </u>		Mr Alistar Picken conts
9:56:38 a.m.	0:50:45	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Sorry I missed that
9:56:41 a.m.	0:50:48	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	So rather than have two different widths
9:56:51 a.m.	0:50:58		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
9:57:00 a.m.	<u>0:51:07</u>	Dr. Jone	
		Dr Jane	And with the plan of the Co. 11 CO.
0.55.40	0.71.7	Kitson	And with the plan change 5 that would still be
9:57:10 a.m.	<u>0:51:17</u>	Mr Alistar	Vac and muses them would make it.
0.57.10	0.51.05	Picken	Yes, so I guess there would need to be
9:57:18 a.m.	<u>0:51:25</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
9:57:31 a.m.	0:51:38	Mr Andrew	TAROUT
9.57.51 d.III.	0.31.30	Fenemor	Has there been any, I'm thinking back to the
9:58:32 a.m.	0:52:39	Mr Alistar	inde the book any, in thinking back to the
3.30.32 d.III.	<u>0.32.33</u>	Picken	No, I haven't had any discussions of that nature
		1	1.10, That of this and any allocations of that hatare

9:58:41 a.m.	0:52:48		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
0.50.45 2 m	0.53.53	Mr Andrew	Andrew Fenemor
<u>9:59:45 a.m.</u>	<u>0:53:52</u>	Fenemor	Well they are certainly valid points
10:00:13 a.m.	0:54:20	Mr Alistar	Then they are containly value points
		Picken	So I guess this slide is
<u>10:00:19 a.m.</u>	<u>0:54:26</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Sorry just before we move on I'm
10:00:23 a.m.	0:54:30		
<u>10:00:27 a.m.</u>	<u>0:54:34</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>10:02:15 a.m.</u>	0:56:22	Mr Alistar Picken	I do I think it's the what the intent was to
10:03:05 a.m.	0:57:12	Chairperson	I do, I think it's the, what the intent was to
10.03.03 a.m.	0.57.12	Whiting	But they need to occur in an integrated way
10:03:12 a.m.	0:57:19		
			D
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Chairperson Whiting
10:03:48 a.m.	0:57:55		Champerson writing
<u> 101031 10 amm</u>	<u>0.07.100</u>		
		Dr Jane	Sorry, conferring as well, I was just sort of
		Kitson	commenting
<u>10:04:49 a.m.</u>	<u>0:58:56</u>		
		Mr Alistar	Yeah, I, I think one of the points is that that the, felt
		Picken	the need
<u>10:05:06 a.m.</u>	0:59:13		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
10:06:02 a.m.	1:00:09	Chairperson	
		Whiting	What I'm trying to say is this I suppose is
<u>10:07:37 a.m.</u>	<u>1:01:44</u>	Mr Alistar	
10.07.11	4.04.63	Picken	Consider, consider that
10:07:41 a.m.	<u>1:01:48</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>10:08:54 a.m.</u>	<u>1:03:01</u>	Dr Jane	A - M - d - d - M - m - m - M
10,00,01	1,02,00	Kitson Chairperson	As it stands it requires
<u>10:09:01 a.m.</u>	<u>1:03:08</u>	Whiting	Which would give a connection then
10:09:31 a.m.	1:03:38	Mr Alistar	TTHIST Would give a confidencial trioli
		Picken	Okay, I'll have to look, think about it
		1	enay, in have to look, timin about it

<u>10:09:38 a.m.</u>	1:03:45		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>10:11:36 a.m.</u>	<u>1:05:43</u>		Mr Alistar Picken conts
<u>10:12:21 a.m.</u>	1:06:28	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So does the land and water regional
<u>10:12:37 a.m.</u>	<u>1:06:44</u>	Mr Alistar Picken	No. that was the other passes in that the
10:12:46 a.m.	1:06:53	Pickell	No, that was the other reason in that the
10.12.40 a.m.	1.00.55		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
10.11.06	4.00.43		Chairperson Whiting
<u>10:14:06 a.m.</u>	<u>1:08:13</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
10:15:47 a.m.	<u>1:09:54</u>		Mr Alistar Picken conts
10:16:37 a.m.	1:10:44	Chairperson	Wil Alistai i lokeli conts
<u> 10110137 umm</u>	<u> 1110111</u>	Whiting	Plan change 4
10:16:40 a.m.	1:10:47	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Plan change 4 which is the
<u>10:16:47 a.m.</u>	<u>1:10:54</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>10:17:49 a.m.</u>	<u>1:11:56</u>		Mr. Aliator Diakon conta
10:18:21 a.m.	1:12:28		Mr Alistar Picken conts
10.10.21 a.III.	1.12.20	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So if there's habitat in those spawning
<u>10:18:31 a.m.</u>	<u>1:12:38</u>	Mr Alistar	
40:40:20	4.42.45	Picken	There are, there are no
<u>10:18:38 a.m.</u>	<u>1:12:45</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
<u>10:19:48 a.m.</u>	<u>1:13:55</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
10:21:23 a.m.	1:15:30		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
10.24.42	4,45,50	Chairparaan	Kitson
<u>10:21:43 a.m.</u>	<u>1:15:50</u>	Chairperson Whiting	You use a dictionary definition
		144111111111111111111111111111111111111	i ou use a dictionary definition

10:21:46 a.m.	1:15:53	Mr Alistar	
10.21.40 a.m.	1.13.33	Picken	The dictionary definition is the default
10,22,06 a m	1,16,12	Dr Jane	The dictionary definition is the default
10:22:06 a.m.	<u>1:16:13</u>	Kitson	So you
10.22.00 - ***	1.10.15	Chairperson	So you
<u>10:22:08 a.m.</u>	<u>1:16:15</u>	Whiting	Mall they could be couldn't they
40.00.44	4.46.04	Dr Jane	Well they could be couldn't they
<u>10:22:14 a.m.</u>	<u>1:16:21</u>	Kitson	Course that some of the confusion
			So was that some of the confusion
<u>10:22:23 a.m.</u>	<u>1:16:30</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Possibly yes, yes.
<u>10:22:27 a.m.</u>	<u>1:16:34</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
10:23:30 a.m.	<u>1:17:37</u>		
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
<u>10:24:46 a.m.</u>	<u>1:18:53</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So the land and water rules don't
<u>10:24:56 a.m.</u>	<u>1:19:03</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	The bed
10:24:58 a.m.	<u>1:19:05</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
10:25:09 a.m.	<u>1:19:16</u>	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	So am I identifying this correctly
10:25:43 a.m.	<u>1:19:50</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	That's correct
10:25:47 a.m.	<u>1:19:54</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
10:26:26 a.m.	<u>1:20:33</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Well the propositon that I put to you before
10:27:59 a.m.	<u>1:22:06</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yes,
10:28:04 a.m.	1:22:11	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Could break for morning tea, I think
10:28:13 a.m.	1:22:20		Morning Break
10:54:40 a.m.	0:00:00		Resumed
10:54:42 a.m.	0:00:02	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Right
10:54:45 a.m.	0:00:05	Mr Alistar	
20.0 1. 10 0.111.	<u>0.00.00</u>	Picken	I'll try and speed up a bit if that
10:54:51 a.m.	0:00:11	1	y and a pool ap a an ii man
10.07.01 a.III.	0.00.11		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
10:55:15 a.m.	0:00:35	<u> </u>	
10.55.15 d.iii.	<u>0.00.00</u>		Mr Alistar Picken conts
		,	/

		1	1
<u>10:56:22 a.m.</u>	<u>0:01:42</u>		Ma Aliatan Dialam and a
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
<u>10:57:37 a.m.</u>	<u>0:02:57</u>		Ma Aliatan Dialam and ta
		Chaine and an	Mr Alistar Picken conts
<u>10:58:39 a.m.</u>	0:03:59	Chairperson	
		Whiting Mr Alistar	And what, what rules are they
<u>10:58:48 a.m.</u>	<u>0:04:08</u>		57. 50
		Picken	5.7 to 5.9
<u>10:58:53 a.m.</u>	<u>0:04:13</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
10.F0.14 a m	0.04.24	Mr Andrew	Chairperson writing
10:59:14 a.m.	<u>0:04:34</u>	Fenemor	ls, is onsite waste
10.F0.F0 a m	0.05.10	Mr Alistar	is, is offsite waste
<u>10:59:50 a.m.</u>	<u>0:05:10</u>	Picken	I cannot recall whether there is a
10.50.56	0.05.16	I IOVEII	i camot iccan whether there is a
<u>10:59:56 a.m.</u>	<u>0:05:16</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
11:01:06 a.m.	0:06:26		Andrew Fellemon
11.01.00 a.iii.	0.00.20		Mr Alistar Picken conts
11:01:27 a.m.	0:06:47	Mr Andrew	Will Allioted a forcest control
11.01.27 d.111.	0.00.47	Fenemor	I just note in terms of the wording in the
11:01:44 a.m.	0:07:04	Mr Alistar	I just note in terms of the wording in the
11.01.44 0.111.	0.07.04	Picken	It should be both the report recommends a
11:01:52 a.m.	0:07:12	1011011	it chould be beth the repetit recentification
11.01.52 0.111.	0.07.12		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
11:02:29 a.m.	0:07:49	Chairperson	
	<u> </u>	Whiting	Covering both, when in
11:02:36 a.m.	0:07:56	Mr Alistar	-
		Picken	Just in case the wetland on it's
11:02:41 a.m.	0:08:01		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>11:02:43 a.m.</u>	0:08:03	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	And what was the other
<u>11:02:46 a.m.</u>	<u>0:08:06</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	It was the rule
<u>11:02:49 a.m.</u>	0:08:09	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So you're suggesting that we
<u>11:02:53 a.m.</u>	0:08:13	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yes sir
<u>11:03:06 a.m.</u>	0:08:26		<u></u>
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
11:04:25 a.m.	<u>0:09:45</u>		<u> </u>
			Mr Alistar Picken conts

<u>11:05:23 a.m.</u>	0:10:43		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11:06:11 a.m.	<u>0:11:31</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
11.07.20 a m	0.12.50	Chairperson	Kitson
11:07:39 a.m.	<u>0:12:59</u>	Whiting	Well would a condition in 10.1.7
11:08:21 a.m.	0:13:41	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	Just wanting to provide certainty there
11:08:32 a.m.	0:13:52		
		Chairperson	It appears to me that this is one of those cases
		Whiting	where
<u>11:09:08 a.m.</u>	<u>0:14:28</u>	Mr Alistar Picken	Yes I did, yeah, I guess it's writing
<u>11:09:17 a.m.</u>	<u>0:14:37</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
11:09:45 a.m.	<u>0:15:05</u>	Dr Jane	Chairperson Whiting
<u> </u>	<u>0110100</u>	Kitson	I suppose from my own experience
11:10:16 a.m.	<u>0:15:36</u>	Mr Alistar Picken	I mean I guess, potentially the only certain
11:10:30 a.m.	0:15:50	i ionon	Theat i gaess, potentially the only contain
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
<u>11:11:26 a.m.</u>	<u>0:16:46</u>	Mr Andrew Fenemor	Are you going to come back to this, are you
11:11:44 a.m.	0:17:04	Mr Alistar	Are you going to come back to this, are you
		Picken	That was, wasn't wholey acceptable
<u>11:11:51 a.m.</u>	<u>0:17:11</u>		
			Discussion hateress M. Al'. (D'.)
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Chairperson Whiting
11:12:30 a.m.	0:17:50	Dr Jane	
11:12:46 a.m.	<u>0:18:06</u>	Kitson Mr Alistar	Yeah that was why I tried saying
11.12.40 a.III.	0.10.00	Picken	Can take that away and
<u>11:12:52 a.m.</u>	0:18:12		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
11.12.54	0.40.44	Chairnerson	Kitson
<u>11:12:54 a.m.</u>	<u>0:18:14</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Well think about it and discuss it with
<u>11:14:00 a.m.</u>	0:19:20	Mr Alistar	The solution of the second sec
		Picken	Thank you, lake opening and closing

11:14:07 a.m. 0:19:27	
Mr Alistar Picken conts	
11:15:30 a.m. 0:20:50	
Mr Alistar Picken conts	
11:16:52 a.m. 0:22:12 Chairperson	
Whiting Is there a map in here that	
11:16:56 a.m. 0:22:16 Mr Alistar	
Picken Yes, there is	
11:17:00 a.m. 0:22:20	
Discussion between Mr Alistar Picke	n and
Chairperson Whiting	
11:18:28 a.m. 0:23:48	
Mr Alistar Picken conts	
11:19:21 a.m. 0:24:41	
11:20:26 a.m. 0:25:46 Mr Andrew I have a question on that that Dr Day	/ie might also
Fenemor be able to	ne migni aiso
11:21:24 a.m. 0:26:44 Mr Alistar	
Picken Can we come back in the council	
11:21:31 a.m. 0:26:51	
Discussion between Mr Alistar Picke	n and Mr
Andrew Fenemor	
11:21:45 a.m. 0:27:05 Dr Jane	
Kitson No, no I interupted	
11:21:49 a.m. 0:27:09 Mr Alistar	
Picken A key requirement is for	
11:21:55 a.m. 0:27:15 Mr Alistar Picken conts	
11:22:57 a.m. 0:28:17 Mr Alistar Picken conts	
11:24:05 a.m. 0:29:25 Dr Jane	
Kitson So the attribute nitrate is really	
11:24:25 a.m. 0:29:45	
Mr Alistar The, that all right the value is naught	point
11:24:48 a.m. 0:30:08	
	n and Dal
Discussion between Mr Alistar Picke	n and Dr Jane
11:26:37 a.m. 0:31:57 Mr Andrew	
<u> </u>	0.4
	o u
11:27:03 a.m.	
11:27:05 a.m. 0:32:25	
<u> </u>	
Discussion between Dr Jane Kitson	and Mr Andrew
Fenemor	
<u>11:27:14 a.m.</u> <u>0:32:34</u> Mr Alistar	
Picken We'll do that and this is a similar table	le for

11,27,27 a m	0.22.47	I	
<u>11:27:27 a.m.</u>	<u>0:32:47</u>		Mr Alistar Picken conts
11:27:53 a.m.	0:33:13	Dr Jane	The Function Florida Source
11.27.33 a.iii.	0.55.15	Kitson	And I suppose the same footnote
11:28:07 a.m.	0:33:27	Mr Alistar	
11.20.07 d.III.	0.55.27	Picken	There are only two consented water takes in the
11,20,14 a m	0.22.24	TIONOTI	There are only two consented water takes in the
11:28:14 a.m.	<u>0:33:34</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11.20.04 a m	0.24.24	Mr Andrew	INICOLI
11:29:04 a.m.	<u>0:34:24</u>	Fenemor	I read that memo also and must admit had some
11,20,00 a m	0.25.20	Mr Alistar	Tread that memo also and must admit had some
<u>11:30:00 a.m.</u>	<u>0:35:20</u>	Picken	The one take because the christchurch
11.20.12	0.25.22	FICKEII	The one take, because the christchurch
<u>11:30:13 a.m.</u>	0:35:33		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
11,20,27	0.25.57	+	Alialem I gligilloi
<u>11:30:37 a.m.</u>	<u>0:35:57</u>		
		Mr Davie	Yes, Mr Picken's correct the one only
11,21,20 a m	0.26.40	Mr Andrew	les, will rickers correct the one only
<u>11:31:20 a.m.</u>	<u>0:36:40</u>	Fenemor	Are the other options being
11,21,26 a.m	0.26.46	i enemo	Are the other options being
<u>11:31:26 a.m.</u>	<u>0:36:46</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Davie and Mr Andrew
			Fenemor
11:32:20 a.m.	0:37:40	Mr Andrew	CHEMO
11.32.20 a.iii.	0.37.40	Fenemor	Has the perosn
11:32:27 a.m.	0:37:47	T OHOHIO	Thas the perosit
11.32.27 d.III.	<u>0.37.47</u>	Mr Davie	No, I don't believe they have
11:32:36 a.m.	0:37:56	Mr Alistar	The first sollers they have
11.32.30 d.III.	0.57.50	Picken	I might just at the point, there were no submissions
11:33:16 a.m.	0:38:36	1 lokon	I might just at the point, there were no submissions
11.55.10 0.111.	0.30.30		Mr Alistar Picken conts
11:34:36 a.m.	0:39:56	Chairperson	
<u> </u>	<u>5.55.56</u>	Whiting	Thank you very much Mr Picken
11:35:01 a.m.	0:40:21	Dr Jane	The state of the s
<u> </u>	<u>0.10.21</u>	Kitson	Yes thank you,
11:35:12 a.m.	0:40:32	Chairperson	- ,,
<u> </u>	<u>5. 15.52</u>	Whiting	We won't ask questions
11:35:15 a.m.	0:40:35	Dr Jane	The many date was a
11.55.15 d.iii.	<u>0.10.55</u>	Kitson	No, I thought given that was good
11:35:27 a.m.	0:40:47	Chairperson	-, - Areagn green man nad good
<u> </u>	3.10.17	Whiting	We'll go through each one
11:35:36 a.m.	0:40:56	Dr Jane	- granicagn cash cha
<u> </u>	<u>5. 10.50</u>	Kitson	I suppose in table two the first one
11:36:39 a.m.	0:41:59	Mr Alistar	. Tapped in the the the the the
11.50.55 d.iii.	<u>0.41.33</u>	Picken	The, my point of con-, I don't think
11:36:49 a.m.	0:42:09	Chairperson	The state of some state thank
11.30. 1 3 a.iii.	<u>0.42.03</u>	Whiting	Personal perspective
		19	i ordonal poropodityo

11:36:52 a.m.	0:42:12	Mr Alistar	
11.50.52 d.m.	<u>0.42.12</u>	Picken	I was really looking at the
11:37:28 a.m.	0:42:48		I was roany rooming at the
11.57.25 d.m.	0.12.10	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	I was just wondering how big a deal it
11:37:35 a.m.	0:42:55	Chairperson	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l
<u>==:07:00 a</u>	<u> </u>	Whiting	You've got Te
11:37:45 a.m.	0:43:05	Mr Alistar	3
		Picken	There is a reason provided
11:38:00 a.m.	0:43:20	Chairperson	
		Whiting	And I noticed
11:38:08 a.m.	0:43:28	Mr Phillip	
<u>==:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::</u>	<u>51.15.25</u>	Maw	One way to solve the problem
11:38:15 a.m.	0:43:35	Mr Alistar	
<u>==:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::</u>	<u> </u>	Picken	In respect of, so there is some guidance
11:38:43 a.m.	0:44:03		3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
	<u></u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So was there any process to actually allow
11:38:50 a.m.	0:44:10		, ,
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11:39:32 a.m.	0:44:52	Chairperson	
		Whiting	The, it seems to me from that it's a question of
11:39:47 a.m.	0:45:07	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	No customary
11:39:49 a.m.	<u>0:45:09</u>		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Dr
			Jane Kitson
<u>11:41:07 a.m.</u>	<u>0:46:27</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	10.4.1 and 10.4.2 I, my reasons really are that
11:41:30 a.m.	<u>0:46:50</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	No, sorry carry on
11:41:34 a.m.	<u>0:46:54</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Aliston Dislance and Dr. Land
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
11,42,22	0.47.52	+	Kitson
<u>11:42:33 a.m.</u>	<u>0:47:53</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11:43:45 a.m.	0:49:05	+	
11.7J.4J 0.III.	0.45.05		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11:43:53 a.m.	0:49:13	Mr Andrew	
	5. 15.15	Fenemor	So what I'm reading into the current two
11:44:27 a.m.	0:49:47	1	J
	2	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	Okay number 3 I will probably ask the
<u> </u>		1	1

44.45.26	0.50.40	Mr Alistar	
11:45:26 a.m.	<u>0:50:46</u>		
		Picken	Yes, my point of view on this is that
11:45:32 a.m.	<u>0:50:52</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11.47.10 a m	0.53.30	Chairperson	TAROOTI
<u>11:47:18 a.m.</u>	<u>0:52:38</u>	· ·	
		Whiting	So you think the regional
11:47:22 a.m.	<u>0:52:42</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	l do
11:47:24 a.m.	0:52:44	Dr Jane	
	<u> </u>	Kitson	It gives sufficient certainty
11.47.21 a m	0.52.51	1 (100)	l gives sumsionic sortainty
11:47:31 a.m.	<u>0:52:51</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
11:47:37 a.m.	0:52:57	Chairperson	
	<u> </u>	Whiting	And there's no need to make it
11.17.11	0.52.04	vvinang	That there s no need to make it
<u>11:47:41 a.m.</u>	<u>0:53:01</u>		
		Mr Alistar	That's my view sir, yes. I also note that the
		Picken	Christchurch city
11:48:20 a.m.	0:53:40		
			Mr Alistar Picken conts
11:48:43 a.m.	0:54:03	Dr Jane	
11.40.43 d.III.	0.54.05	Kitson	Hard one to say
44.40.46	0 = 4 00		I laid one to say
<u>11:48:46 a.m.</u>	<u>0:54:06</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Therefore that's an addiational resource the
11:48:54 a.m.	0:54:14		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Dr Jane
			Kitson
11:49:29 a.m.	0.54.40	Mr Andrew	1
11.43.23 d.III.	<u>0:54:49</u>	Fenemor	Are those rules, do they offer
			Are those rules, do they affect
<u>11:49:35 a.m.</u>	<u>0:54:55</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	The rule, the first rule does affect
11:49:48 a.m.	0:55:08		
	_		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
11,51,00	0.50.20	Chairperson	, and own officials
11:51:08 a.m.	<u>0:56:28</u>	-	The subsection
		Whiting	Thank you
11:51:10 a.m.	<u>0:56:30</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	I suppose I need to mention we have
11:51:16 a.m.	0:56:36	Chairperson	
	<u>5.55.55</u>	Whiting	Yes, well that's sort of part of what we've discussed
<u> </u>		1.4111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 00, won that 3 out of part of what we ve discussed

11.E1.26 a.m	0.56.46		
<u>11:51:26 a.m.</u>	<u>0:56:46</u>		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Dr
			Jane Kitson and Mr Alistar Picken
11:52:46 a.m.	<u>0:58:06</u>		
		Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes, stock management plans are mainly for the
11:53:01 a.m.	0:58:21	Mr Alistar	res, stock management plans are mainly for the
11.55.01 d.m.	0.50.21	Picken	The, the triggers for farm environment
11:53:16 a.m.	0:58:36		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
44.54.5	0.70.0-	+	Chairperson Whiting
<u>11:54:17 a.m.</u>	<u>0:59:37</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
11:55:32 a.m.	1:00:52		
			Discussion haters on Ma Aliatan Dishan and
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
11.EE.E2.2 m	1.01.12	Mr Andrew	Chairperson Whiting
11:55:53 a.m.	<u>1:01:13</u>	Fenemor	We were also concerned on the other side
11:56:40 a.m.	1:02:00		
		Ms Cook	Plan change 4 I'll put aside
11:57:37 a.m.	1:02:57		Ms Cook conts
11:58:16 a.m.	1:03:36	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	So the phosphorous zoning
11:58:22 a.m.	1:03:42		
			Discussion between Mr Andrew Fenemor and Ms
			Cook
11:59:35 a.m.	1:04:55		
			Discussion between Mr Andrew Fenemor and Ms
			Cook
12:00:21 p.m.	<u>1:05:41</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Andrew Fenemor and Ms
			Cook
12:00:45 p.m.	1:06:05	Chairperson	Cook
12.00.73 p.III.	±.00.03	Whiting	What triggers
12:00:48 p.m.	1:06:08		
		Ms Cook	For, under plan change 5

			1
12:00:58 p.m.	<u>1:06:18</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Cook and Chairperson
			Whiting
12:01:03 p.m.	1:06:23	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	If I may interupt, as I mentioned there is
12:01:47 p.m.	1:07:07	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	Right, well that's what I understood
<u>12:02:01 p.m.</u>	<u>1:07:21</u>		
		Ms Cook	Sorry they don't have to provide it
<u>12:02:11 p.m.</u>	<u>1:07:31</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Andrew Fenemor and Ms
			Cook
12:02:51 p.m.	1:08:11	Mr Andrew	Cook
12.02.31 p.m.	1.00.11	Fenemor	See I'm just wondering how
12:02:59 p.m.	1:08:19		
		Ms Cook	Yeah, it's used as an eduational tool
12:03:13 p.m.	<u>1:08:33</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Andrew Fenemor and Ms
			Cook
12:03:33 p.m.	<u>1:08:53</u>	Dr Jane Kitson	Contan shange
12.02.27	4.00.57	Ms Cook	So plan change
12:03:37 p.m.	1:08:57	IVIS COOK	Yes
12:03:38 p.m.	<u>1:08:58</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Cook and Dr Jane Kitson
12:04:17 p.m.	1:09:37	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Is, is this catchment quite special
12:04:26 p.m.	<u>1:09:46</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yes I would say that's the case
12:04:31 p.m.	<u>1:09:51</u>		
			Discussion hat was a Chairman NA/hiting and Ma
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr Alistar Picken
12:05:12 n m	1.10.22	Mr Alistar	תווסומו דוטגפוו
12:05:13 p.m.	<u>1:10:33</u>	Picken	So yes, so it's controlling phoshorous
12:05:20 p.m.	1:10:40	Chairperson	priositorous
12.03.20 p.111.	1.10.40	Whiting	Yes and in doing that
12:05:26 p.m.	1:10:46		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Alistar Picken
12:06:33 p.m.	<u>1:11:53</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	Yeah, part of my concern with that I guess is that
12:07:57 p.m.	<u>1:13:17</u>	Dr Jane	What ush a visually design
		Kitson	What, who woudl do that

42.00.04	4.40.01	Chairnaraar	
<u>12:08:01 p.m.</u>	<u>1:13:21</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Voulre soving all the land owners would
42.00.00	4.40.00	Mr Alistar	You're saying all the land owners would
<u>12:08:08 p.m.</u>	<u>1:13:28</u>		W
		Picken	Yes, or
<u>12:08:11 p.m.</u>	<u>1:13:31</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Aliston Diskon and
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>12:10:15 p.m.</u>	<u>1:15:35</u>		Lunch Break
<u>12:52:38 p.m.</u>	<u>0:00:00</u>		Resumed
<u>12:52:45 p.m.</u>	<u>0:00:07</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes good afternoon everybody
<u>12:52:53 p.m.</u>	<u>0:00:15</u>	Mr Phillip	
		Maw	Yes sir
12:53:03 p.m.	0:00:25	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	Good morning
12:53:11 p.m.	0:00:33		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
12:53:33 p.m.	0:00:55		
			NB: Ms McKenzie and Mr Power speaking away
			from mic
<u>12:53:41 p.m.</u>	<u>0:01:03</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Sorry could you just
<u>12:53:51 p.m.</u>	<u>0:01:13</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
12:54:49 p.m.	<u>0:02:11</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So how many acres
<u>12:54:52 p.m.</u>	0:02:14		
		Mr Joe	<u></u>
		Power	The whole property is just over 1100
<u>12:54:59 p.m.</u>	0:02:21		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
<u>12:56:44 p.m.</u>	<u>0:04:06</u>		
			Discussion between M. J. D. J. Cl. i
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
		1	Whiting
<u>12:58:05 p.m.</u>	0:05:27		
			Discussion between Mr. les Deuts and Chaire
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting

13.F0.41 n m	0.06.03	T	
12:58:41 p.m.	<u>0:06:03</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
12·E0·42 n m	0:07:04		Villang
12:59:42 p.m.	0.07.04		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
1:00:14 p.m.	0:07:36	Chairperson	Vinuing
1.00.14 p.m.	0.07.30	Whiting	Do you know if any other farmers who
1:00:22 p.m.	0:07:44	- VVIII.III	Do you know it arry other farmers who
1.00.22 p.111.	0.07.44	Mr Joe	
		Power	No, I spoke to another couple of others
1:00:30 p.m.	0:07:52	1 01101	140, 1 spoke to another couple of others
1.00.30 p.m.	0.07.32		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
1:00:44 p.m.	0:08:06	Ms Fiona	l l
1.00.44 p.111.	0.00.00	McKenzie	Should I, I had the idea that I would just
1:01:09 p.m.	0:08:31	Chairperson	onedia i, i maa ine idea inat i wedia jaet
1.01.05 p.m.	0.00.51	Whiting	Yes certainly I was getting ahead of
1:01:14 p.m.	0:08:36		100 oonanny i waa gaanig anaaa ci
1.01.14 p.m.	0.00.50		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:02:15 p.m.	0:09:37		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:03:53 p.m.	0:11:15		-
			Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:04:45 p.m.	0:12:07	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Sorry just a minute
1:04:48 p.m.	0:12:10	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	Should we go to 571
1:04:58 p.m.	0:12:20		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:07:18 p.m.	0:14:40		
			Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:08:02 p.m.	<u>0:15:24</u>		
			Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:08:39 p.m.	<u>0:16:01</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	What are we looking
1:08:43 p.m.	<u>0:16:05</u>	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	Yes, correct

1:08:47 p.m.	0:16:09		
			Discussion between Mc Figns McKenzie and
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Chairperson Whiting
1:09:40 p.m.	0:17:02	Mr Joe	Ondispersion Winning
		Power	Well personally I do not know
<u>1:09:59 p.m.</u>	<u>0:17:21</u>	Chairperson	
4.40.00		Whiting	He's got his collection
1:10:03 p.m.	<u>0:17:25</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
		NA - E'	Whiting
1:10:04 p.m.	<u>0:17:26</u>	Ms Fiona McKenzie	He's more farming that the others but
1:10:30 p.m.	0:17:52	MORCHZIC	The simore farming that the others but
<u> </u>	0117132		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
1:11:48 p.m.	0:19:10		Chairperson Whiting
<u>1.11. 10 p.m.</u>	0.13.10		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
1:13:37 p.m.	0:20:59	<u> </u>	Chairperson Whiting
1.13.37 p.m.	0.20.33		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
<u>1:15:33 p.m.</u>	<u>0:22:55</u>		
4.46.20 = ==	0.24.04		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:16:39 p.m.	<u>0:24:01</u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:18:01 p.m.	0:25:23	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Sorry 7a
<u>1:18:04 p.m.</u>	<u>0:25:26</u>	Ms Fiona	T
1:10:15 p m	0.26.27	McKenzie	The schedule 7a which is I think
1:19:15 p.m.	0:26:37		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:20:23 p.m.	0:27:45		
		Oh airra	Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:20:34 p.m.	<u>0:27:56</u>	Chairperson Whiting	If you look at that map
1:20:41 p.m.	0:28:03	Ms Fiona	וו אַטע וטטג מג נוומג ווומף
<u> </u>	<u>0.20.03</u>	McKenzie	No
1:20:42 p.m.	0:28:04		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:22:02 p.m.	0:29:24	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	Is that the
<u>1:22:07 p.m.</u>	0:29:29	Mr Alistar	lust two things that the
		Picken	Just two things that the

1:22:33 p.m.	0:29:55	Ms Fiona	
1.22.33 p.m.	0.23.33	McKenzie	Well anyway in terms of, we definitely agree with
1:24:05 p.m.	0:31:27		Train any may in terms or, we deminesty agree man
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
<u>1:25:55 p.m.</u>	0:33:17		
			Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
<u>1:26:25 p.m.</u>	<u>0:33:47</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Why are you concerntrating on sheep
<u>1:26:34 p.m.</u>	<u>0:33:56</u>	Ms Fiona	No host was less as a final was beaut
4.26.44	0.24.02	McKenzie	No but we're saying that we have
<u>1:26:41 p.m.</u>	<u>0:34:03</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:27:39 p.m.	0:35:01		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:29:25 p.m.	<u>0:36:47</u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1,20,24 m m	0.27.50		INIS FIONA INICKENZIE CONIS
1:30:34 p.m.	<u>0:37:56</u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:32:06 p.m.	0:39:28	1	INIO I IOITA INIOITATIZIO GOTTA
<u> 1132100 pmm</u>	<u>0.03.20</u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:32:38 p.m.	0:40:00		
		Chairperson	I think that was apparent yesterday when we
1.00.10		Whiting Ms Fiona	discussed it
<u>1:32:49 p.m.</u>	<u>0:40:11</u>	McKenzie	No and it's quite technical
1:32:55 p.m.	0:40:17	MORENZIE	No, and it's quite technical
1.32.33 p.III.	0.40.17		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>1:33:48 p.m.</u>	<u>0:41:10</u>		
			Discussion between Ma Figure Mal/agrain and
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
1.25.40 n m	0.42.11		Chairperson Whiting
<u>1:35:49 p.m.</u>	<u>0:43:11</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:37:07 p.m.	0:44:29		·
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
		<u> </u>	Chairperson Whiting

1:37:50 p.m.	0:45:12		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
1,20,52 n m	0.46.15		Chairperson Whiting
1:38:53 p.m.	<u>0:46:15</u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:39:04 p.m.	0:46:26	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Well we saw some very good examples of
1:39:12 p.m.	0:46:34	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	Yeah
<u>1:39:14 p.m.</u>	<u>0:46:36</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
1:40:52 p.m.	0:48:14		Change Total Williams
	<u> </u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
<u>1:41:53 p.m.</u>	<u>0:49:15</u>		Ma Figure Makanzia ganta
1.42.20 n m	0.50.50		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:43:28 p.m.	<u>0:50:50</u>		Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
1:44:30 p.m.	0:51:52	1	NICTIONA MORENZIO COME
			Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
<u>1:44:47 p.m.</u>	0:52:09	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes well thank you for that
<u>1:44:56 p.m.</u>	<u>0:52:18</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	I'm quite keen to hear from Joe
<u>1:45:03 p.m.</u>	<u>0:52:25</u>	Mr Andrew Fenemor	Mall I have just on a planification
1:45:16 n m	0.53.30	Ms Fiona	Well I have just one clarification
1:45:16 p.m.	<u>0:52:38</u>	McKenzie	That's what
1:45:19 p.m.	0:52:41		That 5 miles
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
<u>1:46:11 p.m.</u>	0:53:33	Chairperson	
		Whiting	That's on your rebuttal evidence
<u>1:46:15 p.m.</u>	<u>0:53:37</u>	Ms Fiona	V
1.46.21	0.53.43	McKenzie Mr Andrew	Yes
1:46:21 p.m.	<u>0:53:43</u>	Fenemor	And this is really your solution to
1:46:52 p.m.	0:54:14	Ms Fiona	And this is really your solution to
1.40.32 p.III.	0.34.14	McKenzie	I mean it wouldn't be a carte
1:47:07 p.m.	0:54:29		
<u> </u>			
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor

		ī	ı
1:48:10 p.m.	0:55:32		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
1:49:39 p.m.	0:57:01		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
<u>1:50:28 p.m.</u>	<u>0:57:50</u>		
		Chairperson	Yes well it seems to be everyone recognises the
		Whiting	problem
1:50:41 p.m.	0:58:03	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	It's a more palatable
1:50:51 p.m.	0:58:13		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
1:51:47 p.m.	0:59:09	Mr Alistar	Chairperson Whiting
1.31.4/ p.III.	<u>0.55.05</u>	Picken	It would do
1:51:51 p.m.	0:59:13	Chairperson	
		Whiting	It would do would it
<u>1:51:57 p.m.</u>	0:59:19	_	
	_		
			Discussion between Mr Alistar Picken and
1.52.10 = ==	0.50.22	Ms Fiona	Chairperson Whiting
1:52:10 p.m.	<u>0:59:32</u>	McKenzie	Intensive stock is in
1:52:37 p.m.	0:59:59	Mr Alistar	Interiore steak is in
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Picken	Yeah, so it's the oxford english
1:53:20 p.m.	1:00:42	Chairperson	,
	·	Whiting	Dr Kitson
<u>1:53:25 p.m.</u>	<u>1:00:47</u>	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	Dogs or cats if
<u>1:53:28 p.m.</u>	<u>1:00:50</u>	Chairperson	Thoulesson
1,53,33 = ==	1.00-54	Whiting	Thank you
1:53:32 p.m.	<u>1:00:54</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	I just looked though the evidence
1:53:51 p.m.	1:01:13	Ms Fiona	. ,
		McKenzie	Just probably because I looked at it more carefully
1:54:05 p.m.	1:01:27		, and the second
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Dr
4.54.50	4.00.40		Jane Kitson
<u>1:54:50 p.m.</u>	<u>1:02:12</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and Dr
			Jane Kitson
1:55:39 p.m.	1:03:01	Chairperson	
		Whiting	WEII we'll hear from you mr
		-	*

1.EE.4E n m	1.02.07	Mr Joe	
1:55:45 p.m.	<u>1:03:07</u>	Power	I sort of have prepared
4.56.40	4.04.40	rowei	i soit of have prepared
<u>1:56:48 p.m.</u>	<u>1:04:10</u>		Mr. Ioo Dower conto
4.57.50	4.05.44		Mr Joe Power conts
<u>1:57:52 p.m.</u>	<u>1:05:14</u>		Mr. Ioo Dower conto
4 = 0 = 0			Mr Joe Power conts
<u>1:58:59 p.m.</u>	<u>1:06:21</u>		
			Mr Joe Power conts
<u>1:59:12 p.m.</u>	<u>1:06:34</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	How many hectares
<u>1:59:16 p.m.</u>	<u>1:06:38</u>	Mr Joe	
		Power	3 to 4 hectares
1:59:20 p.m.	<u>1:06:42</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:00:40 p.m.	1:08:02		
			Mr Joe Power conts
2:03:04 p.m.	1:10:26		
			Mr Joe Power conts
2:03:48 p.m.	1:11:10		
2100110 01111	111110		Mr Joe Power conts
2:04:54 p.m.	1:12:16	Mr Andrew	III GGG I GWGI GGING
2.04.54 p.m.	1.12.10	Fenemor	Have you worked out
2.04.F0 n m	1,12,21	Mr Joe	liave you worked out
2:04:59 p.m.	<u>1:12:21</u>	Power	How much what
2.05.02	4.42.25	rowei	HOW MUCH What
2:05:03 p.m.	<u>1:12:25</u>		
			Discussion hatus on Ma lea Dawen and Ma Andrew
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Mr Andrew
		N4- Fin	Fenemor
2:05:33 p.m.	<u>1:12:55</u>	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	If you have to redo it it
<u>2:05:40 p.m.</u>	<u>1:13:02</u>	l	
		Mr Joe	
		Power	Especially if they bring in a hard and fast rule that
2:05:56 p.m.	<u>1:13:18</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So how many metres of fensing have you
2:06:04 p.m.	<u>1:13:26</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:07:10 p.m.	1:14:32	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	And that's exclusively
2:07:15 p.m.	1:14:37	Mr Joe	
2.07.125 p.iiii	<u> </u>	Power	Some of it is two wire
2:07:10 n m	1:14:41		Come of the third half
2:07:19 p.m.	1.14.41		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Mr Andrew
			Fenemor
2.00.20	4.45.54	Chairparaan	I CHCHOI
2:08:29 p.m.	<u>1:15:51</u>	Chairperson	And you wouldn't have
		Whiting	And you wouldn't have

2:08:33 p.m.	<u>1:15:55</u>	Mr Joe	
		Power	Pardon
2:08:35 p.m.	<u>1:15:57</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:09:18 p.m.	<u>1:16:40</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:10:03 p.m.	<u>1:17:25</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:11:12 p.m.	1:18:34	 	9
			
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
2:11:34 p.m.	1:18:56	Mr Andrew	Whiting
2.11.54 p.m.	1.18.50	Fenemor	Oh, no that was
2:11:40 p.m.	1:19:02		
		Mr Joe	
		Power	Well that is our property down there
2:11:49 p.m.	<u>1:19:11</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Looked like it's been there a while
2:11:55 p.m.	1:19:17	VVIIIIIII	Looked like it's been there a write
<u> 2112133 p.i.i.i</u>	1113117		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
2:12:51 p.m.	1:20:13	Mr Andrew	Whiting
2.12.31 p.iii.	1.20.13	Fenemor	We also saw a farmer doing I presume
2:13:04 p.m.	1:20:26	Mr Joe	5 1 2 2 3 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
		Power	Up the
2:13:06 p.m.	<u>1:20:28</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Mr Andrew
			Fenemor
2:13:17 p.m.	1:20:39	Chairperson	-
		Whiting	Now have you got
2:13:20 p.m.	1:20:42	Mr Joe	
2.12.27	1:20:40	Power	The only other thing I've got
2:13:27 p.m.	<u>1:20:49</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:14:20 p.m.	<u>1:21:42</u>		Mr. Ioo Power conts
		1	Mr Joe Power conts

2:14:20 n m	1:21:52	Chairperson	
2:14:30 p.m.	1.21.32	Whiting	So on our site visit yesterday
2.44.45	4 22 07	Mr Joe	So on our site visit yesterday
<u>2:14:45 p.m.</u>	<u>1:22:07</u>		Van waah
		Power	Yes, yeah
<u>2:14:48 p.m.</u>	<u>1:22:10</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:15:42 p.m.	1:23:04		
			Discussion between Mr Joe Power and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:16:11 p.m.	1:23:33		9
<u> 2.10.11 p.m.</u>	1.23.33	Mr Davie	It is the nodularia which
2:16:27 p.m.	1:23:49		To the floodiding fillion
2.10.27 P.III.	1.43.43		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			i .
		Ob alma a ma a m	Davie
2:16:39 p.m.	<u>1:24:01</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	No, that's very help
2:16:48 p.m.	<u>1:24:10</u>	Mr Phillip	
		Maw	Sir there's one more
2:17:17 p.m.	<u>1:24:39</u>		
		Chairperson	Yes, well I, you were going to have a talk with
		Whiting	someone
2:18:03 p.m.	1:25:25	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	The, our planner Cathy
2:18:24 p.m.	1:25:46		
			Ms Fiona McKenzie conts
2:18:27 p.m.	1:25:49	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Well perhaps the three planners could talk
2:18:41 p.m.	1:26:03		- periode and promise down tank
2.10.71 p.iii.	1.20.03		Man speakin away from mic
2:18:50 p.m.	1:26:12	Mr Andrew	man opodium array mornimo
2.10.30 p.III.	1.20.12	Fenemor	10.5.5
2,40,52	1.20.44		10.5.5
2:18:52 p.m.	<u>1:26:14</u>	Chairperson	Voob 40.5.5
0.15 = 1		Whiting	Yeah, 10.5.5
2:18:54 p.m.	<u>1:26:16</u>		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Andrew Fenemor
2:19:49 p.m.	<u>1:27:11</u>	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	What is the timeframe
2:19:56 p.m.	<u>1:27:18</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	I see, the timeframe's fairly tight

2:20:03 p.m.	1:27:25	1	
2.20.03 p.m.	1.27.25		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
2:20:51 p.m.	<u>1:28:13</u>	Mr Phillip	
		Maw	That would be of much assistance
2:21:15 p.m.	<u>1:28:37</u>	Ms Fiona	
		McKenzie	Can that be
2:21:17 p.m.	<u>1:28:39</u>	Chairperson	
2.24.40		Whiting	No,
<u>2:21:18 p.m.</u>	<u>1:28:40</u>		
			Discussion between Ms Fiona McKenzie and
			Chairperson Whiting
2:22:09 p.m.	1:29:31	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	That's one of the reasons that I was
2:22:16 p.m.	1:29:38	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So you need to look at those submissions
2:24:14 p.m.	<u>1:31:36</u>	Mr Cranwell	Maori Content.
2:25:15 p.m.	1:32:37		Mr Cranwell conts
2:26:06 p.m.	1:33:28		Mr Cranwell conts
2:27:32 p.m.	1:34:54		Mr Cranwell conts
2:28:33 p.m.	1:35:55	1	Mr Cranwell conts
2:30:09 p.m.	1:37:31		Mr Cranwell conts
2:31:04 p.m.	1:38:26	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Can you just explain
2:31:10 p.m.	1:38:32	Mr Cranwell	Well I mean initially the lake used to
2:31:19 p.m.	1:38:41		
			Discussion between Mr Cranwell and Chairperson
		-	Whiting
2:32:36 p.m.	<u>1:39:58</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Cranwell and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:33:49 p.m.	1:41:11	Dr Jane	9
<u></u>		Kitson	Yeah, didn't quite
2:33:53 p.m.	1:41:15	Chairperson	
		Whiting	We went out from the Christchurch
2:34:03 p.m.	1:41:25		
	_		
			Discussion between Mr Cranwell and Chairperson
		Oh a'	Whiting
<u>2:34:38 p.m.</u>	<u>1:42:00</u>	Chairperson	If I am instead a
22444	4.42.63	Whiting	If I can just ask a
<u>2:34:41 p.m.</u>	<u>1:42:03</u>	Mr Cranwell	Yes

2:34:43 p.m.	1:42:05	1	
2.54.45 p.iii.	1.42.03		
			Discussion between Mr Cranwell and Chairperson
			Whiting
2:37:13 p.m.	<u>1:44:35</u>		
			Discussion hat was a Ma Ossavall and Ohaire and a
			Discussion between Mr Cranwell and Chairperson Whiting
2:38:23 p.m.	1:45:45	Chairperson	VVIIIIIIII
2.36.23 p.III.	1.43.43	Whiting	Yes, kia ora Mr
2:38:29 p.m.	1:45:51	Dr Jane	Too, Nic ord IVII
2.30.23 p.m.	1.13.31	Kitson	I'm just wondering whether I should
2:39:01 p.m.	1:46:23	Mr Cranwell	I kind of heard that question before
2:39:07 p.m.	1:46:29		
			Discussion between Mr Cranwell and Dr Jane
			Kitson
2:39:46 p.m.	<u>1:47:08</u>	Mr McGillan	It doesn't necessarily
2:39:51 p.m.	<u>1:47:13</u>		
		Mr Cranual	Coll mann to shaired by the same
2.44.52	4.40.45	Mr Cranwell	So I mean technically we could be
2:41:53 p.m.	<u>1:49:15</u>	Chairperson Whiting	It's normally
2:41:57 n m	1:49:19	Mr Cranwell	Yeah, lake forysth
2:41:57 p.m. 2:43:05 p.m.	1:50:27	IVII CIAIIWeii	Tean, lake folysul
2.45.05 p.111.	1.30.27		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Cranwell
<u>2:43:08 p.m.</u>	<u>1:50:30</u>	Mr McGillan	Kia ora tato
<u>2:43:22 p.m.</u>	<u>1:50:44</u>		Mr McGillan conts
<u>2:46:58 p.m.</u>	<u>1:54:20</u>		Mr McGillan conts
2:47:30 p.m.	<u>1:54:52</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes thannk you Mr
2:47:35 p.m.	<u>1:54:57</u>	Dr Jane	
2.40.04	4.55.00	Kitson	I suppose that's more
2:48:04 p.m.	<u>1:55:26</u>	Mr McGillan	I think the plan change in
2:48:08 p.m.	<u>1:55:30</u>		
			Discussion between Dr Jane Kitson and Mr
			McGillan
2:49:51 p.m.	1:57:13	1	The Sandari
<u></u>			
			Discussion between Dr Jane Kitson and Mr
			McGillan
2:50:54 p.m.	<u>1:58:16</u>		
			Discussion halous D. J. 189
			Discussion between Dr Jane Kitson and Mr
		1	McGillan
2:51:00 p.m.	1:58:22	Mr Cranwell	Oh, yes I mean so you said the

2:51:21 p.m.	1:58:43		
		Dr Jane	
2.54.20	1.50.01	Kitson Mr Andrew	So there was some initial conversations on the
2:51:39 p.m.	<u>1:59:01</u>	Fenemor	Can I just follow that up
2:52:30 p.m.	1:59:52	Mr McGillan	I think there is a possiblit
2:52:36 p.m.	1:59:58	Chairperson	I think there is a possibilit
2.32.30 p.m.	1.55.50	Whiting	So the new rule would
2:52:39 p.m.	<u>2:00:01</u>	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	Well it may not replace it
2:52:57 p.m.	2:00:19	Chairperson	
		Whiting	So that's the purpose of the new rule
2:53:06 p.m.	2:00:28	Mr McGillan	Yeah, and the original proposal
2:53:13 p.m.	2:00:35		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			McGillan
2:54:09 p.m.	2:01:31	Mr Andrew	I Woo man
<u> 213 1103 piiiii</u>	2101101	Fenemor	Just trying to identify some sort of
2:54:14 p.m.	2:01:36		, ,
		Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So there was debate on aspects of it
2:54:22 p.m.	<u>2:01:44</u>	Chairperson	
2.54.26	2.04.50	Whiting	First of all can we go
2:54:36 p.m.	<u>2:01:58</u>		
		Mr McGillan	As part of the original submission
2:54:44 p.m.	2:02:06		7 to part of the original outstriction
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			McGillan
2:55:53 p.m.	2:03:15		Mr McGillan conts
2:57:07 p.m.	2:04:29		Mr McGillan conts
2:59:39 p.m.	2:07:01	Chairparaan	Mr McGillan conts
3:00:36 p.m.	<u>2:07:58</u>	Chairperson Whiting	I understand all that but where's your scope
3:00:47 p.m.	2:08:09	Mr McGillan	I'm not 100 pervent certain
3:00:54 p.m.	<u>2:08:09</u> <u>2:08:16</u>	I WOOMAN	Initiot 100 pervent certain
3.00.34 p.iii.	2.00.10		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			McGillan
3:01:02 p.m.	2:08:24	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	There's a discussion in the sectino 42
3:02:40 p.m.	<u>2:10:02</u>	Chairperson	Wall to see a charles to the little of the second
2.02.06	2.40.22	Whiting Mr Phillip	Well it may be I'm just looking at the
3:03:06 p.m.	<u>2:10:28</u>	Maw	Insofar as there's
		Iviaw	וווסטומו מס נוופופ ס

3:03:24 p.m.	<u>2:10:46</u>		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Phillip Maw
3:04:22 p.m.	<u>2:11:44</u>	Mr McGillan	That's a good idea
3:04:38 p.m.	2:12:00		Afternoon Break
3:23:41 p.m.	<u>0:00:00</u>		Resumed
3:23:59 p.m.	0:00:18	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes
<u>3:24:04 p.m.</u>	<u>0:00:23</u>		
		Mr Phillip	
		Maw	Sir some productive discussions over the break
3:24:48 p.m.	0:01:07	Chairperson	on some productive discussions over the break
<u>5.2 i. io p.iii.</u>	0.01.07	Whiting	Yes well thank you Mr Maw
3:25:58 p.m.	0:02:17	Mr Phillip	,
		Maw	Yes sir there's also
3:26:26 p.m.	<u>0:02:45</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Okay well thank you for that
3:26:58 p.m.	<u>0:03:17</u>		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr Phillip Maw
3:27:07 p.m.	0:03:26	Mr Andrew	1 Tillip Iviaw
3.27.07 p.m.	0.03.20	Fenemor	Can I just ask have your
3:27:12 p.m.	0:03:31	Mr Phillip	Carrier Space Carrier Spac
		Maw	Yes a little so Mr Pearsons
3:27:19 p.m.	0:03:38	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Appreciate that
<u>3:27:26 p.m.</u>	<u>0:03:45</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	I suppose we're still going through
3:28:10 p.m.	0:04:29	Mr McGillan	Disregarding the naming
3:28:19 p.m.	<u>0:04:38</u>		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane
			Kitson
3:30:13 p.m.	0:06:32	1	
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane
		1	Kitson
3:31:01 p.m.	<u>0:07:20</u>		
			Discussion between Mr McCillon and Dr. Jane
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane Kitson
3:31:35 p.m.	0:07:54	Chairperson	TAGOTI
3.31.33 p.iii.	0.07.34	Whiting	If you look at 4.4.
3:32:10 p.m.	0:08:29	Dr Jane	,
		Kitson	I suppose for logics sake
3:32:32 p.m.	0:08:51	Mr McGillan	Yeah I'm not

2.22.25 n m	0.00.54	Chairperson	
3:32:35 p.m.	<u>0:08:54</u>	Whiting	You could add after the words
2.22.54	0.00.40	Dr Jane	Tou could add after the words
3:32:51 p.m.	0:09:10	Kitson	The agree are and regard was
0.00 ==		Kitson	The agreement parts was
3:32:55 p.m.	<u>0:09:14</u>		
			Discussion between Chairman N/hiting and Dr
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Dr
0.00.00			Jane Kitson
3:33:00 p.m.	0:09:19		
		Mr McGillan	That would be an assertable solution
2 22 25		IVII IVICGIIIAII	That would be an acceptable solution
3:33:07 p.m.	<u>0:09:26</u>		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr McGillan
2.22.47	0:00:26	Mr Alistar	
3:33:17 p.m.	0:09:36	Picken	I do also consider that the new policy that was
2.22.22	0.00.10		inserted
3:33:29 p.m.	0:09:48	Chairperson	Wall that the trace the solution and the basis and
		Whiting	Well that's true, they've got to be read
<u>3:33:45 p.m.</u>	<u>0:10:04</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	Have we dealt with the
3:33:51 p.m.	<u>0:10:10</u>	Mr McGillan	We probably
3:33:54 p.m.	<u>0:10:13</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes, oh sorry the defintion
3:33:59 p.m.	<u>0:10:18</u>	Mr McGillan	I mean the request from Ngai
3:35:03 p.m.	<u>0:11:22</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	Are they
3:35:08 p.m.	<u>0:11:27</u>	Mr Alistar	
		Picken	I think they would be captured
3:35:11 p.m.	<u>0:11:30</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Isn't it a good idea to have a definition
3:36:00 p.m.	<u>0:12:19</u>	Mr Phillip	
		Maw	One of the challenges that the
3:36:16 p.m.	<u>0:12:35</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Yes I can understand that
3:36:22 p.m.	0:12:41		
			
			Discussion between Mr Phillip Maw and
		<u> </u>	Chairperson Whiting
3:36:49 p.m.	<u>0:13:08</u>	Mr McGillan	Okay we can leave that for further
3:36:54 p.m.	0:13:13	Chairperson	
		Whiting	You may have to toss a coin
3:37:01 p.m.	0:13:20	_	
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson
			Whiting
3:37:14 p.m.	0:13:33	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So we'll go onto the next one

3:37:52 p.m.	<u>0:14:11</u>		
		Mr McGillan	It was an area of significant disagreement
3:38:00 p.m.	<u>0:14:19</u>		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane
			Kitson
3:38:56 p.m.	<u>0:15:15</u>		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane
			Kitson
3:39:32 p.m.	0:15:51	Mr Andrew	
<u></u>		Fenemor	I think our assumption is taht these are like Ito be
3:39:41 p.m.	<u>0:16:00</u>	Mr McGillan	Well I think the difficulty is
3:39:48 p.m.	<u>0:16:07</u>		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Mr Andrew
			Fenemor
3:40:16 p.m.	0:16:35	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So it's more the issue of certainty
<u>3:40:21 p.m.</u>	<u>0:16:40</u>	Mr McGillan	It is about certainty
3:40:39 p.m.	<u>0:16:58</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	Well it's not possibly, in my view anyway
3:40:57 p.m.	<u>0:17:16</u>	Mr McGillan	Agreed
3:40:59 p.m.	<u>0:17:18</u>		
			Discussion hatus on Ma Ma Oillan and Ohaire and on
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting
3:42:07 p.m.	0:18:26	Mr McGillan	Is there a restiction that
3:42:14 p.m.	0:18:33	Chairperson	is there a restriction that
3.42.14 p.m.	0.18.33	Whiting	Well then you run into what is minor and
3:42:20 p.m.	0:18:39		Tron then you fan into what is minor and
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson
		Mar DL 30	Whiting
3:42:44 p.m.	<u>0:19:03</u>	Mr Phillip	Down one if I could wrote a comment on that we
2.42.20	0.40.40	Maw	Perhaps if I could make a comment on that now
3:43:30 p.m.	<u>0:19:49</u>	Chairperson Whiting	For the augment, oh, no that
3·42·27 n m	0:19:56	I vviilling	I or the augment, on, no that
3:43:37 p.m.	0.13.30		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			Phillip Maw
3:44:12 p.m.	<u>0:20:31</u>		
		Mr McGillan	Mr Picken when we were having discussions
3:44:54 p.m.	0:21:13	Chairperson	INTERIOR WHOTE WE WETE HAVING DISCUSSIONS
3.44.34 P.III.	0.21.13	Whiting	Yes well that may be so but it's not just the fee
L		9	1 30 Hall that thay 50 00 but it o flot just the 100

3:45:03 p.m.	0:21:22		
			Discussion between Chairperson Whiting and Mr
			McGillan
3:45:07 p.m.	0:21:26	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	But if it's between Ecan
3:45:43 p.m.	<u>0:22:02</u>	Chairperson	Mall the otle wheat line
3:45:50 p.m.	0:22:09	Whiting	Well that's what I'm
3.43.30 p.m.	0.22.09		
		Mr McGillan	I don't think there's like to be an issue with the
3:45:59 p.m.	<u>0:22:18</u>		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson
			Whiting
3:47:28 p.m.	<u>0:23:47</u>	Mr Cranwell	Mr Whiting just your strong note
3:47:35 p.m.	0:23:54	Chairperson	Wall to seed the a Fill ND seed and a seed
3:47:52 p.m.	0:24:11	Whiting	Well it would be a little NB under the rule
3.47.52 p.III.	0.24.11		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson
2:47:50 :: :::	0.24.45	Dr Jane	Whiting
3:47:56 p.m.	<u>0:24:15</u>	Kitson	That it would be
3:48:00 p.m.	0:24:19	Chairperson	That it would be
		Whiting	So it's giving a strong direction rather than making
3:48:20 p.m.	0:24:39	Dr Jane	
2,49,27 n m	0.24.46	Kitson	Until we can write a schedule
3:48:27 p.m.	<u>0:24:46</u>		
		Mr Cranwell	I mean, just, in recent times canterbury
3:49:05 p.m.	0:25:24	Chairperson	
2.40.42	0.25.24	Whiting	Well this gives that direction without
3:49:12 p.m.	<u>0:25:31</u>	Dr Jane	
		Kitson	Should the note also include the fact that
3:49:28 p.m.	<u>0:25:47</u>	Mr McGillan	It's obvious that it has been an
3:49:40 p.m.	0:25:59		
			Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson
			Whiting
3:49:47 p.m.	0:26:06	Dr Jane	
2.40.50	0.20.00	Kitson	I think that's the last one
3:49:50 p.m.	<u>0:26:09</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Number 6, yeah
3:49:57 p.m.	<u>0:26:16</u>		itanisor o, your
		Dr Jane	
		Kitson	So we've heard from Mr Picken about the

3.50:34 p.m. 0.26:33		I	1	T
3:50:30 p.m. 0:26:49 Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane Kitson Mr McGillan conts	3:50:14 p.m.	<u>0:26:33</u>		
Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr Jane Kitson			Mr McGillan	As part of the further submissions
Kitson Si.51.38 p.m. 0:27.57 Mr McGillan conts	3:50:30 p.m.	<u>0:26:49</u>		
Kitson Si.51.38 p.m. 0:27.57 Mr McGillan conts				Discussion between Mr McGillan and Dr. Jane
3:52:24 p.m. 0:28:43 Mr McGillan conts				
3:53:03 p.m. 0:29:22 Chairperson Whiting Yes I can see where you're comming from but you're really just	3:51:38 p.m.	<u>0:27:57</u>		Mr McGillan conts
Chairperson Whiting Yes I can see where you're comming from but you're really just you're really just It is a little bit more refined	3:52:24 p.m.	<u>0:28:43</u>		Mr McGillan conts
Whiting you're really just	3:53:03 p.m.	<u>0:29:22</u>		
Whiting you're really just			Chairnerson	Vas I can see where you're comming from but
3:53:13 p.m. 0:29:32			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting	3:53:13 p.m.	0:29:32		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3:54:55 p.m. 0:31:14 Dr Jane Kitson And number 7 again	3:53:19 p.m.	0:29:38		
3:54:55 p.m. 0:31:14 Dr Jane Kitson And number 7 again				
3:54:55 p.m. 0:31:14 Dr Jane Kitson And number 7 again				Discussion between Mr McCillan and Chairnerson
3:54:55 p.m. 0:31:14 Dr Jane Kitson And number 7 again				•
3:55:01 p.m. 0:31:20 Chairperson Whiting Nothing from him and I think	3:54:55 p.m.	0:31:14	Dr Jane	,
Whiting Nothing from him and I think 3:55:54 p.m. 0:32:13 Mr Scott Pearson 3:55:58 p.m. 0:32:17 Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and Chairperson Whiting 3:57:33 p.m. 0:33:52 Mr Scott Pearson conts 3:58:48 p.m. 0:35:07 Chairperson Whiting Yes well that's the message, it's really 3:58:54 p.m. 0:35:13 Mr Scott Pearson conts 3:59:03 p.m. 0:35:22 Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting Area and in some ways they are and in some ways they			Kitson	And number 7 again
3:55:54 p.m. 0:32:13 Mr Scott Pearson	3:55:01 p.m.	<u>0:31:20</u>	•	
Pearce Scott Pearson 3:55:58 p.m. 0:32:17 Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and Chairperson Whiting Mr Scott Pearson conts 3:58:48 p.m. 0:35:07 Chairperson Whiting 3:58:54 p.m. 0:35:13 Mr Scott Pearson conts Yes well that's the message, it's really Yeah, technically they are and in some ways they are Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson Whiting 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of		0.00.40		Nothing from him and I think
3:55:58 p.m. 0:32:17	3:55:54 p.m.	<u>0:32:13</u>		Scott Pearson
Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and Chairperson Whiting 3:57:33 p.m. 0:33:52	3:55:58 p.m.	0:32:17	l caree	Cook i carson
3:57:33 p.m. 0:33:52	<u>5.55.55 p</u>	<u> </u>		
3:57:33 p.m. 0:33:52				
3:57:33 p.m. 0:33:52				
Mr Scott Pearson conts	3·57·33 n m	0.33.52		Chairperson whiting
Whiting Yes well that's the message, it's really 3:58:54 p.m. Mr Scott Pearson O:35:13 Mr Scott Pearson Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. O:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. O:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. O:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. O:37:44 Mr Scott	3.37.33 p.m.	0.55.52		Mr Scott Pearson conts
3:59:03 p.m. O:35:22 Mr Scott Pearson Prearson Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. O:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. O:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. O:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. O:37:44 Mr Scott	3:58:48 p.m.	<u>0:35:07</u>	•	
Mr Scott Pearson 3:59:03 p.m. 0:35:22 Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of			Whiting	Yes well that's the message, it's really
Pearson are 3:59:03 p.m. 0:35:22 Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott	3:58:54 p.m.	<u>0:35:13</u>		
Pearson are 3:59:03 p.m. 0:35:22 Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott			Mr Scott	Yeah, technically they are and in some ways they
Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairperson Whiting 4:00:02 p.m. O:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. O:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. O:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. O:37:44 Mr Scott				
4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott	3:59:03 p.m.	0:35:22		
4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott				
4:00:02 p.m. 0:36:21 Mr Andrew Fenemor Is that in the section 42 4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott				Discussion between Mr McGillan and Chairnerson
4:00:02 p.m.0:36:21Mr Andrew FenemorIs that in the section 424:00:09 p.m.0:36:28Mr Scott PearsonNo that was actually raised4:01:17 p.m.0:37:36Chairperson WhitingSo I'm just trying to work out the relevance of4:01:25 p.m.0:37:44Mr Scott				·
4:00:09 p.m. 0:36:28 Mr Scott Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott	4:00:02 p.m.	0:36:21		
Pearson No that was actually raised 4:01:17 p.m. 0:37:36 Chairperson Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott				Is that in the section 42
4:01:17 p.m.0:37:36Chairperson WhitingSo I'm just trying to work out the relevance of4:01:25 p.m.0:37:44Mr Scott	4:00:09 p.m.	<u>0:36:28</u>		No that was actually raised
Whiting So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of 4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott	1:01:17 n m	0.37.36		ino mai was actually faised
4:01:25 p.m. 0:37:44 Mr Scott	4.01.17 p.III.	<u>0.37.30</u>	•	So I'm just trying to work out the relevance of
	4:01:25 p.m.	<u>0:37:44</u>	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			Pearson	So the reason I'm saying it

T		1	T
4:01:32 p.m.	<u>0:37:51</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and
			Chairperson Whiting
4:03:10 p.m.	<u>0:39:29</u>		
			Mr Scott Pearson conts
<u>4:04:00 p.m.</u>	<u>0:40:19</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	No, no you talk through
4:04:05 p.m.	<u>0:40:24</u>		
		Mr Scott	
		Pearson	I think we can sympathise with the
4:04:16 p.m.	0:40:35		
•			
			Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and
			Chairperson Whiting
4:05:48 p.m.	0:42:07		
			Mr Scott Pearson conts
4:07:54 p.m.	0:44:13		
			Mr Scott Pearson const
4:07:55 p.m.	0:44:14	Chairperson	
		Whiting	I don't think it's
4:08:01 p.m.	0:44:20	, J	
4.00.01 p.m.	0.44.20	Mr Scott	
		Pearson	No that doesn't sound good actually
4:08:11 p.m.	0:44:30		The that accent count good actually
4.00.11 p.m.	0.44.30		
			Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and
			Chairperson Whiting
4:09:08 p.m.	0:45:27	Mr Andrew	But I think the discussion we were having before
4.03.00 p.III.	<u>0.43.27</u>	Fenemor	was
4.00.25 :: :::	0.45.54	Chairperson	Was
4:09:35 p.m.	<u>0:45:54</u>	Whiting	But it makes that prohibited
4.00.40	0.45.50	•	But it makes that prohibited
4:09:40 p.m.	0:45:59	Mr Scott	l _{Vee}
1.05.15		Pearson	Yes
4:09:42 p.m.	<u>0:46:01</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and
			Chairperson Whiting
4:09:42 p.m.	<u>0:46:01</u>	Mr Andrew	
		Fenemor	And the plan could
4:09:45 p.m.	<u>0:46:04</u>	Chairperson	
		Whiting	And it's probably a good option
4:09:59 p.m.	0:46:18	Mr Andrew	
	<u>—</u>	Fenemor	And the prescriptions for the
4:10:05 p.m.	0:46:24	Mr Scott	
		Pearson	Yes
		1	

4:10:07 p.m.	<u>0:46:26</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and Mr Andrew Fenemor
4:10:32 p.m.	<u>0:46:51</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Well thank you very much Mr
4:10:37 p.m.	<u>0:46:56</u>	Mr Andrew Fenemor	Just one question, DOCs obviously now
4:10:45 p.m.	0:47:04	Mr Scott Pearson	Yes
4:10:47 p.m.	<u>0:47:06</u>		
			Discussion between Mr Scott Pearson and Mr Andrew Fenemor
4:11:26 p.m.	<u>0:47:45</u>	Chairperson Whiting	Thank you very much Mr
<u>4:11:57 p.m.</u>	<u>0:48:16</u>		END OF DAY