

**Before**                      **The Canterbury Regional Council**

**In the Matter**

**Of:**                              **Proposed Change No. 1 to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement Chapter 12A (Development of Greater Christchurch) including Variations 1, 2, 3 and 4**

14 August 2009

Twelfth Minute of Commissioners as to Further Questions

The following further questions are posed to the respective parties identified by sub-headings A and B :

A. s.42A Report Writers:

1. Are there any identifiable physical restraints to the immediate west of Woodend in the Maori Reserve land which led to the recommendation by the officers' report of there being no extension of the urban limits in that area while recommending extensions in the areas to the north, east and south east of Woodend?

2. With the exception of the noise contour lines what are the other differences between the Clearwater request for inclusion in the Urban Limits and the Christchurch Golf Resort request for inclusion which led the officers to recommend inclusion for the latter but not the former?

3. These questions relate to the Table of capital expenditure provided by Mr. Paul Anderson at para 28 of his Supplementary Statement. The answers should be based on the proposition, not yet decided by the Commissioners, that the site specific findings of the Aurecon Report, Attachment C to Mr. Dasler's supplementary evidence, is accepted and the generalised Opus Report of 7 November, 2008, is not accepted as to the need for fill on the Prestons Rd site.

(i) Could Mr. Anderson please define by map the boundaries of the three land parcels referred to in para 27 of his supplementary statement and the basis on which the calculation of 10400 households was made as between each of those three parcels?

(ii) Given the evidence of Phillip Donnelly and Martin Dasler for Prestons Road Limited stressing the developer funded aspects of the Prestons Road proposed development, and similar evidence by the Mills Road and Hills Road submitters of developer funded development in those localities, what if any changes would Mr. Anderson make to his Table?

4. What are the drainage patterns for stormwater on the land owned by the submitters numbered , 1122,38, 1124,37 and 1156 (J & R. Crooks, W & A. Smith and J. Eden) and adjacent land included in the Smith submission and describe the purpose and capacity proposed for the new drainage proposed by CCC in that area.

B. Prestons Rd Limited

5. Provide a succinct summary of the proposed method of stormwater disposal from any development of the Prestons site with particular reference to avoiding downstream effects on the land identified in question 4 above. (As background to this question the Commissioners heard submissions on 13 August from the submitters noted in question 4, suggesting that those properties were suffering flooding or ponding of significance which may have emanated from land to the north. We are also interested in any such effects on land to the west of the Prestons Road site.)

Timing and hearing of Answers

6. The report writers' answers are to be provided in the same manner as for the answers to the Sixth Minute.

7. The Prestons Road Limited answer is to be provided 5p.m on Tuesday 25 August and will be heard at a date and time to be arranged by that submitter with Tina von Pein on either 27 or 28 August.

Dated the 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2009



R.D.Crosby  
Chairman of Commissioners