

VARIATION 2

RECEPTION	
EC - CHCH	
FILE REF:	5015C-97
DOCUMENT No:	105665
19 NOV 2008	
ACTION	INFO

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON A PUBLICLY NOTIFIED VARIATION TO CHANGE OF A PROPOSED POLICY STATEMENT (NO.1, CHAPTER 12A, DEVELOPMENT OF GREATER CHRISTCHURCH, REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT)
Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

1219

TO: Canterbury Regional Council

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON: Variation 2 and 4 to Proposed Change No 1 to the Regional Policy Statement: Chapter 12A, Development of Greater Christchurch

NAME: Clampett Investments Limited

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE: Clampett Investments Limited
c/- Connell Wagner Limited
PO Box 329
RANGIORA

Telephone: 03 313 8776
Fax: 03 313 6035
Email: mcclungr@conwag.com

Attention: Rachel McClung

1. The further submissions are contained in the attached schedule.
2. Clampett Investments Limited does wish to be heard in support of its further submissions.
3. If others make a similar submission, Clampett Investments Limited would be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission

18/11/08
Date

SCHEDULE OF FUTHER SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF CLAMPETT INVESTMENTS LIMITED

VAR. 2 TO PROPOSED CHANGE NO 1 TO THE REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT: CHAPTER 12A, DEVELOPMENT OF GREATER CHRISTCHURCH

Name of person or group making original submission	The part of the submission I am referring to: (Specify submitter number and submission point number eg 35.58)	Support/Oppose	State concisely your reasons for supporting or opposing the submission point / decision requested:
Variation 2			
HQPM Limited C/- Eliot Sinclair & Partners Ltd PO Box 4597 Christchurch Attn: Chrisie Sargent	182.4 and 182.5	Oppose	Business (new) areas as identified on Map C7 is an appropriate location for a business zone. This will allow for future growth of Kaiapoi with connections to existing business areas and the state highway.
The Greene Flaxton Road Development Trust C/- Eliot Sinclair & Partners Ltd PO Box 4597 Christchurch Attn: Darrell Sargent	267.1 and 267.2	Oppose	Business (new) areas as identified on Map C7 is an appropriate location for a business zone. This will allow for future growth of Kaiapoi with connections to existing business areas and the state highway.
Christchurch International Airport C/- PO Box 2206 Christchurch Attn: Jo Appleyard	236.29	Oppose	The definitions for "Noise Sensitive Activities and "Deferred Noise Sensitive Activities in PC1 as proposed by Amendment 2.3 of Variation 2 does not account for residential activities associated with Business Activities. Residential activities associated with business activities should be exempt from the definition of "Noise Sensitive Activities" as contained in Variations 2 and 4
Variation 4			
Christchurch International Airport C/- PO Box 2206 Christchurch Attn: Jo Appleyard	236.37	Oppose	It is our understanding that the Ldn 55 dBA contour is typically used as a limit to urban growth at other international airports; it is considered that the Ldn 50 dBA contour adopted on Map 1 is overly restrictive for this reason and should reflect international practice

RECEPTION		
EC - CHCH		
FILE REF:		
DOCUMENT No:	105648	19 NOV 2008
	ACTION	INFO

VARIATION 4.

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON A PUBLICLY NOTIFIED VARIATION TO CHANGE OF A PROPOSED POLICY STATEMENT (NO.1, CHAPTER 12A, DEVELOPMENT OF GREATER CHRISTCHURCH, REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT)

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

TO: Canterbury Regional Council

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON: Variation 2 and 4 to Proposed Change No 1 to the Regional Policy Statement: Chapter 12A, Development of Greater Christchurch

NAME: Clampett Investments Limited

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE: Clampett Investments Limited
c/- Connell Wagner Limited
PO Box 329
RANGIORA

Telephone: 03 313 8776
Fax: 03 313 6035
Email: mcclungr@conwag.com

Attention: Rachel McClung

1. The further submissions are contained in the attached schedule.
2. Clampett Investments Limited does wish to be heard in support of its further submissions.
3. If others make a similar submission, Clampett Investments Limited would be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

.....
Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission

18/11/08
.....
Date

SCHEDULE OF FUTHER SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF CLAMPETT INVESTMENTS LIMITED

VAR. 2 & 4 TO PROPOSED CHANGE NO 1 TO THE REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT: CHAPTER 12A, DEVELOPMENT OF GREATER CHRISTCHURCH

Name of person or group making original submission	The part of the submission I am referring to: (Specify submitter number and submission point number eg 35.58)	Support/Oppose	State concisely your reasons for supporting or opposing the submission point / decision requested:
Variation 2			
HQPM Limited C/- Eliot Sinclair & Partners Ltd PO Box 4597 Christchurch Attn: Chrisie Sargent	182.4 and 182.5	Oppose	Business (new) areas as identified on Map C7 is an appropriate location for a business zone. This will allow for future growth of Kaiapoi with connections to existing business areas and the state highway.
The Greene Flaxton Road Development Trust C/- Eliot Sinclair & Partners Ltd PO Box 4597 Christchurch Attn: Darrell Sargent	267.1 and 267.2	Oppose	Business (new) areas as identified on Map C7 is an appropriate location for a business zone. This will allow for future growth of Kaiapoi with connections to existing business areas and the state highway.
Christchurch International Airport C/- PO Box 2206 Christchurch Attn: Jo Appleyard	236.29	Oppose	The definitions for "Noise Sensitive Activities and "Deferred Noise Sensitive Activities in PC1 as proposed by Amendment 2.3 of Variation 2 does not account for residential activities associated with Business Activities. Residential activities associated with business activities should be exempt from the definition of "Noise Sensitive Activities" as contained in Variations 2 and 4
Variation 4			
Christchurch International Airport C/- PO Box 2206 Christchurch Attn: Jo Appleyard	236.37	Oppose	It is our understanding that the Ldn 55 dBA contour is typically used as a limit to urban growth at other international airports; it is considered that the Ldn 50 dBA contour adopted on Map 1 is overly restrictive for this reason and should reflect international practice