

1093

28 March 2008

BY DELIVERY

Environment Canterbury
58 Kilmore Street
CHRISTCHURCH

RECEPTION	
EC - CHCH	
FILE REF:	S45C/89
DOCUMENT:	
28 MAR 2008	
27653	
L McCallum	
ACTION	INFO

Anderson Lloyd
Level 10, Clarendon Tower
Cnr Oxford Terrace &
Worcester Street
Christchurch 8011, New Zealand
PO Box 13831, Christchurch 8141
P: 03 379 0037
F: 03 379 0039

Also in:
Queenstown
Dunedin

www.andersonlloyd.co.nz

Dear Sir/Madam

Change No. 1 Regional Policy Statement

Please find **enclosed** further submissions on Change No. 1 on behalf of our clients
National Investment Trust.

Yours faithfully
Anderson Lloyd



Gerard Cleary
Associate
P: 03 364 9238
M: 027 254 4771
E: gerard.cleary@andersonlloyd.co.nz

In accordance with Form 6 - RM (Forms, Fees & Procedure) Regs 2003

**Further Submission in support or opposition to a submission received on
Proposed Plan Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement**

TO: Change No.1 Regional Policy Statement
Environment Canterbury
Freepost 1201
PO Box 345
CHRISTCHURCH

Name of further submitter: National Investment Trust

1. This is a further submission in support/opposition to submissions lodged on Proposed Change 1.
2. The submissions supported/ opposed and reasons for support/opposition are set out in **Appendix A** to the further submission.
3. We **do** wish to be heard in support of our further submissions.
4. If others make a similar submission we **would** be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.


.....

Signed

(Signature of the person making the submission or person authorised on behalf of the person making the further submission)

28-3-2008
.....
Date

Address for service: c/- Anderson Lloyd
PO Box 1381
CHRISTCHURCH

Attention: Gerard Cleary

Telephone No: 379 0037
Facsimile Number: 379 0039

Appendix A

Schedule of Further Submissions Relating to Plan Change 6

Submitter	Submission Number	Support or Oppose	Reasons
Christchurch International Airport Limited	236 (entire submissions)	Oppose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The relief sought represents inappropriate and unnecessary restrictions on land within the vicinity of the Christchurch International Airport; • Development of the Airport should be directly in accordance with the current provisions of the Christchurch City Plan, that is it should be limited to purposes associated with the operation of the Airport and should not encompass the full range of urban activities contemplated within "Key Activity Centres" • The relief sought is inappropriate, does not represent the optimal planning solution and will fail to meet the purpose of the Act.
<p>Apple Fields Limited</p> <p>B and A Gargiulo & Mainland Tomatoes</p> <p>Horticulture New Zealand</p>	<p>220</p> <p>242.2</p> <p>243.2</p>	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The inclusion of the areas identified by the submitters on Planning Map F6 within the urban limit is an appropriate means of achieving the purpose of the Act; • Including these areas would represent the optimal planning solution for further development within Christchurch City. • There is no justification provided within Change 1 for excluding these areas (in particular the land bounded by Russley Road, Memorial Avenue and Hawthornden Road) from the urban limit.
New Zealand Planning Institute (Canterbury Branch)	227.13	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restricting the activities undertaken within the CIAL area identified within the urban limit in the manner sought by the submitter is appropriate in terms of meeting the purpose of the Act.

Nimbus Consultants Limited	158.1	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the reasons stated in the submission, that is it is appropriate for CIAL to take all reasonable steps to internalise adverse effects resulting from the operation of the Airport. This requirement is consistent with sound resource management practice.
Suburban Estates Limited	109.10 109.11	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the reasons outlined in the submissions. The amendment proposed by the submitters is consistent with sound resource management practice and represents the optimal planning solution for development within the noise contours.